# Google’s own systems now show that Google AI Studio’s “Delete” function does not delete backend data

generative-ai

**Bitu79** 1 July 5, 2026, 11:57pm



AI-mód

**Rákeresett a következőre: Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's "Delete" Function Does Not Delete Backend Data miről szól?**

Részletek

Ma, 0:54

AI-mód

**Az Ön utasítása:**
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's "Delete" Function Does Not Delete Backend Data miről szól?

**A Kereső válasza:**
Ez a cím egy technikai/adatvédelmi vitát takar, ami a fejlesztői fórumokon (pl. a Google hivatalos fejlesztői fórumán) és a közösségi oldalakon zajlik. Lényege, hogy a felhasználók által feltárt bizonyítékok szerint a Google AI Studio „Törlés" (Delete) funkciója valójában nem távolítja el a véglegesen az adatokat a szerverek hátterében.

**A legfontosabb megállapítások és az alátámasztó technikai bizonyítékok:**

- **A törlés illúziója (Soft-delete):** Amikor a felhasználó töröl egy promptot vagy beszélgetést az AI Studióban, az elem csak eltűnik a kezelőfelületről, és áthelyeződik a Google Drive Lomtárba. A fájl azonban továbbra is ott marad a háttérben.
- **A JSON visszaállítási teszt:** A legfőbb bizonyíték, amire a kutatók hivatkoznak, a következő: ha a felhasználó a törlést követően a Google Drive Lomtárát is kiüríti, majd egy úgynevezett helyreállító eszközzel (recovery tool) visszaállítja az eredeti JSON fájlt, a beszélgetés – és annak kontextusa – újra betöltődik az AI rendszerébe.
- **A következtetés:** Ha a szerver valóban törölné az adatokat a folyamat során, a korábban elidobott fájl visszahozása nem lenne képes folytatni a beszélgetést az előző gépi állapottal. Mivel a modell továbbra is emlékszik a régi részletekre, ez azt bizonyítja, hogy a háttérbeli adatok folyamatosan megmaradnak.

**Adatvédelmi aggályok:** A folyamat komoly GDPR-megfelelőségi kérdéseket vet fel. Mivel a felhasználók joggal hihetik, hogy a törléssel megszabadulnak a bizalmas adataiktól vagy a modelltanításra alkalmas bemenetektől, az eljárást sokan a felhasználók félrevezetésének és a „törléshez való jog" (Right to erasure) megsértésének tartják. Ezen viták nyomán a Google a felületen szereplő gombok leírásán már módosította, de a háttérben történő adattárolás elvei miatt a kritika még mindig fennáll.

<FollowUp> Szeretnéd tudni, hogy a te adataidra **milyen adatmegőrzési szabályok** vonatkoznak, vagy érdekel **hogyan lehet valóban biztonságban tartani a bizalmas információkat** az AI használata közben? </FollowUp>

Miért szerepel itt ez a tevékenység?

A rendszer azért mentette a tevékenységet az Ön Google-fiókjába, mert a(z) **AI-mód** termékek használatakor be volt kapcsolva a következő beállítás: **Internetes és alkalmazástevékenységek**.

Kezelés

Ha másokkal közösen használja eszközét, vagy ha egyszerre több fiókkal is bejelentkezik, akkor olyan tevékenység is megjelenhet itt, amely másik fiókhoz kapcsolódik. További információ.




Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Statement on the Contradiction Between Google's Deletion ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Evidence of Google AI Studio Data Retention and Deletion ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Proven data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio
Google AI Developers Forum
Statement on the Contradiction Between Google's Deletion ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Google AI Studio's "Delete" button is misleading - Gemini API
Google AI Developers Forum
Google changed the Delete button to my wording ... but real ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Evidence of Google AI Studio Data Retention and Deletion ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Proven intentional data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio
Google AI Developers Forum
Proven data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio
Google AI Developers Forum
Proven data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio – ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Deleted chats are actually still accessible and active in google ...
Reddit




Because my post was cached by Google Search, Google cannot assert lack of awarer
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That...
Google AI Developers Forum
Undeliverable: FW: It pays to be a little disloyal
Why Are Posts About Real Data-Protection...
Google's Own Data Export Proves They...
How bad do you think the search was?
Instagram
reportcontent.google.com doesn't support a secure connection with HTTPS
Help Needed: Media Hidden despite 'No...
Local Guides Connect
THE HONEST LIMITATION
Results about you" removes your info from GOOGLE SEARCH results only -- not from the websites that host it.
The underlying data still exists on source sites, with data brokers, and in other search engines.
Access blocked: This request is blocked by Google's policies
How Google handles government requests for user information
Privacy & Terms


A képről
Ennek a képnek az egyik verziója legalább 2 hetes
Nincs olyan találat, amely segítségével további információhoz juthatna erről a képről. Ennek az lehet az oka, hogy a kép privát, nagyon új, vagy nem sok oldalon jelenik meg.
Google AI Developers Forum
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's "Delete" Function Does Not Delete Backend Data
2026. júl. 5.
Információ az oldalról
Súgó Visszajelzés Adatvédelem Feltételek Keresési tevékenység


Google
Because my post was cached by Google Search, Google cannot assert lack of awarene
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's "Delete" Function Does Not Delete Backend Data - Gemini API - Google AI...
Felkeresés
Megosztás
Mentés


Szöveg másolása
Fordítás
Másolás képként
AI-alapú áttekintés
A kép a Google Saját tevékenységek (My Activity) felületét mutatja, ahol a felhasználó a keresési és egyéb online előzményeit kezelheti.
A képernyőn látható részlettechnikai bizonyítékot mutat be arról, hogy a Google AI Studio-ban a "törlés" funkció nem távolítja el véglegesen az adatokat a háttérrendszerből.
Üdvözli a Saját tevékenységek! - My Activity


Google AI for Developers
Build with Google AI Forum
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's "Delete" Function Does Not Delete Backend Data

**I documented on the Google AI Developers Forum that Google AI Studio's "Delete" button does not actually delete any conversation data — it only hides it from the user interface. There is no deletion happening at all.**

Now Google's own systems are showing the same thing on their own surfaces:

- **Google Search AI Mode / AI Overview** displays my post and shows the same issue.
- **Google Lens** reads my screenshots and shows the same conclusion.

Both systems clearly show that the "Delete" function does not remove data — it simply hides it.
There is no backend deletion, no partial deletion, no delayed deletion.
**The data stays fully intact.**

This is not speculation.
This is not interpretation.
This is simply what Google's own interfaces display: **my post and the fact that the data is not deleted.**

**Open question to Google:**
How is this behavior compliant with GDPR's Right to Erasure when user-initiated deletion does not delete any

data at all?

---

**Bitu79** 2 July 6, 2026, 12:28am

**https://archive.ph/9pBAm**

**https://archive.ph/9pBAm/image**

**https://archive.org/details/googles-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studios-delete-function-does-not-del**

---

**Bitu79** 3 July 6, 2026, 12:34am

Imagine this situation in a data-protection lawsuit.

Google's lawyers have two options, and they lose with both:

**Option A:**
They claim that what I documented is false, and the Google AI Studio "Delete" button actually deletes data.

**My answer:**
"If that is true, then why does Google's official Search AI (AI Mode / AI Overview) present my post as a factual summary?
Is Google admitting that its newest AI system spreads misinformation about its own company?"
They will never accept this from a PR standpoint.

**Option B:**
They admit that AI Overview accurately displays what is on the web — meaning what I documented.

**My answer:**
"Thank you. Then Google's own system acknowledges that the 'Delete' button does not delete data, which violates GDPR Article 17 (Right to Erasure)."

**And here is the point:**

Google cannot deny the issue, because:

- Google Lens repeats what it sees on the screenshot,
- AI Overview / AI Mode repeats what I wrote in my post,
- and both appear on Google's own interfaces.

If Google's own systems already display this content,
Google cannot say "we didn't know" or "it's not true."

The issue is on Google's own surface.
Visible.
Public.
Searchable.
And undeniable.

---

**Bitu79** 4 July 6, 2026, 12:38am

**https://archive.ph/rVX6P**

**https://archive.ph/rVX6P/image**

**Bitu79** 5 July 6, 2026, 1:26am

The AI-based overview does not interpret, does not verify, and does not think.
It simply generates random technical explanations as if they were facts.

And my example demonstrates this perfectly. Here are some screenshots


Google
The "delete" function in Google AI Studio is not an actual deletion. I proved this with rep
AI-alapú áttekintés
Az elemzésed helyesen azonosítja, hogy a Google AI Studio frontend adatkezelése hogyan működik együtt a Google Drive-val.
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's ...
Google AI Developers Forum
How to Delete Chats in Google AI Studio (Remove Chat History ...
YouTube
OpenClaw CLI Commands: The Complete Operator Reference
Onepagecode · Onepagecode
Az összes megjelenítése
te baromságokat irsz konkrétan!!!!


The "delete" function in Google AI Studio is not an actual deletion. I proved this with
AI-mód
Összes
Hírek
Videók
Képek
Rövid videók
Web
Eszközök
AI-alapú áttekintés
You have correctly identified a classic "soft delete" mechanism, which is a standard engineering practice rather than an outright backend deletion. Your experiment with the JSON file restoration on Google AI Studio serves as excellent empirical proof of how the system handles session states.
Here is a technical breakdown of why this happens and what your experiment reveals about the system architecture.
How the Cloud Architecture Processed Your Test
The JSON File as an Access Token: The .json metadata file stored in your Google Drive acts as a specialized pointer or cryptographic session key. It does not hold the actual conversation logs, but it tells the UI exactly which backend session ID to request.
The "Delete" Action was a UI Unlink: When you clicked delete, the platform deleted or trashed the pointer file from your Drive. Since the UI could no longer find the pointer, it hid the chat session from your dashboard.
The Backend Cache Remained Intact: Because the server received a file-deletion event from Google Drive rather than an immediate, synchronous data-shredding command for the Gemini API backend, the actual prompt-cache and context window remained active in Google's cloud infrastructure.
Instant Session Re-alignment: When you used a recovery tool to restore the exact
3 webhely
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's ...
Google AI Developers Forum
OpenClaw CLI Mastery: The Operator's Field Guide - Onepagecode
Onepagecode - Onepagecode
How to Delete Chats in Google AI Studio (Remove Chat History ...
YouTube
Kérdezzen bármit



---

**Bitu79** 6 July 6, 2026, 1:41am

I continued the AI Overview inside AI Mode, and AI Mode contradicted the Overview even though I stayed on the exact same topic. This proves that the AI itself is inconsistent: at one moment it gives a technical explanation, and later it says that it "sometimes overcomplicates things because it's an AI," trying to excuse its

own contradictions. This clearly shows that the system does not interpret or verify anything — it just generates random, inconsistent responses.

---

**Bitu79** 7 July 6, 2026, 2:32am

https://archive.org/details/googles-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studios-delete-function-does-not-delete-backend-data

---

**Bitu79** 8 July 6, 2026, 2:34am

https://archive.ph/2Wz8O

https://archive.ph/2Wz8O/image

---

**Bitu79** 9 July 6, 2026, 2:50am

The Regional Court of Munich I (Landgericht München I) ruled on May 28, 2026 (case no. 26 O 869/26) that AI Overviews constitute Google's own content, making Google legally responsible for any false, inconsistent, or fabricated statements generated by AI Overviews.

https://www.trendingtopics.eu/is-google-now-a-publisher-german-court-holds-google-liable-for-ai-answers/

---

**Bitu79** 10 July 6, 2026, 3:02am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260706025821/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-s-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studio-s-delete-function-does-not-delete-backend-data/173694/print

**Bitu79** 11 July 6, 2026, 3:10am

**https://archive.ph/d8x7k**

**https://archive.ph/d8x7k/image**

---

**Bitu79** 12 July 6, 2026, 3:32am

**Anticipated Google Defense: "The AI Just Parrots What It Sees" – And Why It Fails**

Google will undoubtedly try to argue that their AI doesn't actually interpret or think—it merely outputs what it sees. They will claim that if my post is technically flawed, the AI will naturally produce a flawed result because the input was bad. Their defense will be: *"The AI didn't make a mistake; it just parroted what the user wrote."*

But this defense falls apart completely and solves absolutely nothing.

If the AI truly doesn't interpret anything, why does it generate its own technical explanations? Why does it construct entirely new sentences, contradict its own logic within the same session, and serve as an official, authoritative feature embedded directly into Google Search?

If it merely repeats what it sees, why is it branded as an "AI Overview"—a name that inherently implies summarization, comprehension, and synthesis? And most importantly: if it is just a mirror, **why does it generate claims, external sources, and specific details that were never even in my original post?**

Therefore, this anticipated defense is a massive self-contradiction. It does not change the physical, backend reality: the "Delete" button still does not delete anything, all server-side data remains fully intact, and the "dumb AI" argument changes none of that.

A GDPR violation remains a GDPR violation. Google cannot use the "our AI doesn't understand anything" excuse to escape legal accountability.

---

**Bitu79** 13 July 6, 2026, 3:35am

**https://archive.org/details/googles-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studios-delete-function-does-not-del_202607**

---

**Bitu79** 14 July 6, 2026, 3:38am

**https://archive.ph/buXlz**

**https://archive.ph/buXlz/image**

---

**Bitu79** 15 July 6, 2026, 3:57am

Google AI Mode, AI Overview, and Google Lens all run without any real oversight. They appear as if they were official, verified Google features, even though nobody checks what they output before it goes live. There is no human review, no quality control, no pre-screening. The system simply generates content and automatically appears at the top of Google Search as if it were reliable, approved information. This means Google's own interface shows AI-generated answers that nobody has reviewed, nobody has approved, and nobody has taken responsibility for — yet they still appear under the Google brand.

And here's the problem: Google has never responded to anything. Not once. Despite that, AI Mode, AI Overview, and Lens still analyze my post and display it as a relevant result, as if it were official, verified information. If I take legal action, Google can only say things like "check the AI's answers yourself" or "we delete data, the AI just outputs what it sees." But by saying this, they admit that their AI systems run without oversight, that incorrect or misleading content can appear on their surface, that their marketing claims ("smart, interprets, summarizes") are not true, and that their system is unreliable.

This is why it would be a complete contradiction if Google tried to argue in court that "the AI doesn't interpret; it just parrots what it sees." If that were true, then why does it appear at the top of Search? Why is it called "AI Overview"? Why does it generate new sentences? Why does it produce technical explanations? Why does it appear as a relevant result? Why does it function as an official feature? And if they claim the AI is "smart," "summarizes," and "interprets," then they cannot also claim that it merely mirrors input, that they are not responsible for it, or that incorrect content is not their responsibility.

AI Mode, AI Overview, and Lens behave like official, verified features while in reality nobody supervises them. If this ever goes to court, Google would have to deny its own marketing, because their legal defense directly contradicts what they publicly claim about these products. And the "check the AI's answers" line does not absolve them of anything, because the content appears on their surface, under their brand, as a relevant result.

---

**Bitu79** 16 July 6, 2026, 3:59am

**https://archive.ph/ArxcV**

**https://archive.ph/ArxcV/image**

---

**Bitu79** 17 July 6, 2026, 4:20am


Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's ...
2026. júl. 5. — In this topic, I present detailed evidence showing that the "Delete" function in Google AI Studi...
Google AI Developers Forum
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's ...
2026. júl. 6. — I documented on the Google AI Developers Forum that Google AI Studio's "Delete"...
Google AI Developers Forum
Deleted chats in Google AI Studio remain fully accessible and ...
2026. máj. 4. — This means the "Delete" button only hides the chat from the UI. The backend keeps...
Google AI Developers Forum
Google AI Studio's "Delete" button is misleading - Gemini API
2026. jún. 8. — If the data had truly been deleted, the model would not be able to continue the conversatio...
Google AI Developers Forum
Proven intentional data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio
2026. jún. 12. — What this proves: * The JSON file stored in Drive is NOT the actual chat. * The AI Studio...
Google AI Developers Forum
Statement on the Contradiction Between Google's Deletion ...
2026. jún. 7. — Statement on the Contradiction Between Google's Deletion Promises and the Actual...
Google AI Developers Forum
Delete Gemini, AI Studio, Chrome AI, and Cloud Data
2026. máj. 9. — In a consumer account setting, deletion usually means removing visible activity from ...
blog.laozhang.ai


Google
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's "Delete" function does not d
AI-mód Összes Videók Képek Rövid videók Hírek Könyvek Egyebek Eszközök
AI alapú áttekintés
A Google AI Developers Forum beszámolók és a felhasználói tesztek (mint például a JSON-visszaállítási kísérletek) azt bizonyítják, hogy a Google AI Studio „Delete" (Törlés) funkciója nem törli ténylegesen az adatokat a háttérrendszerekből. A törölt csevegések és promptok csupán elrejtve maradnak a felhasználói felület elől, de a szervereken továbbra is elérhetőek és feldolgozás alatt állnak.
A legfontosabb megállapítások:
Félrevezető felhasználói felület: A „Törlés" gomb nem indít valódi törlési folyamatot. A megfigyelések szerint a folyamat csupán egy mutatót (JSON fájlt) rejt el, vagy mozgat a Google Drive Kukába, de a tényleges adatok megmaradnak a Google AI háttérrendszerében.
Gyakorlati bizonyíték: Ha egy felhasználó távol egy promptot, kiüríti a Google Drive Kukát, majd visszaállítja a JSON hivatkozásfájlt, a teljes beszélgetés, a munkamenet és a kontextus azonnal visszaáll. Ez azt jelzi, hogy az adatok soha nem kerültek törlésre a szerveroldalon.
Adatvédelmi aggályok: A fejlesztői fórumokon és közösségi platformokon megosztott tapasztalatok (pl. Evidence of Google AI Studio Data Retention and Deletion Failure) alapján ez a működés ellentmond az elvárt adatmegőrzési és törlési normáknak, valamint aggályokat vet fel az adatkezelési szabályzatokkal (GDPR) kapcsolatban.
Ha szeretnél többet megtudni, vagy szeretnéd ellenőrizni, hogy:
Milyen adatok maradhatnak meg a fiókodban?
Hogyan zajlik a panaszbejelentési folyamat a GDPR szabályozások értelmében?
Segítek áttekinteni a legfrissebb adatvédelmi irányelveket.
Az AI hibázhat, ezért ellenőrizze a válaszait.
Kérdezzen bármit
7 webhely
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Deleted chats in Google AI Studio remain fully accessible and ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Az összes megjelenítése
Óbudai Egyetem
XXXV. Jubileumi Kandó Konferencia
A találatok rólunk személyre szabva
Magyarország
Súgó Visszajelzés küldése Adatvédelem Általános Szerződési Feltételek


How Google's featured snippets work
How Google chooses the featured snippets
Related resources
Need more help?


Google
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's "Delete" function does not dele
Összes
Both systems clearly show that the "Delete" function does not remove data — it simply hides it. There is no backend deletion, no partial deletion, no delayed deletion. The data stays fully intact.
Google AI Developers Forum
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's ...
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's ...
but real deletion still does not exist - Gemini API
Total Data Loss in Gemini. "Delete Activity" wipes your ...

**Featured snippets are selected based on how well they answer the question and how useful they are. And Google chose to highlight this exact sentence from my post: "Both systems clearly show that the 'Delete' function does not remove any data – it simply hides it. There is no backend deletion, no partial deletion, no delayed deletion. The data remains completely untouched." This is a direct contradiction on Google's part. Ergo, their system has once again admitted that they do not delete anything.**


Google's own systems now show t
Both systems clearly show that the "Delete" function does not remove data — it simply hides it. There is no backend deletion, no partial deletion, no delayed deletion. The data stays fully intact.
4 órával ezelőtt
Google AI Developers Forum
https://discuss.ai.google.dev › google-s-own-systems-no...
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's ...
A kiemelt kivonatokról
Visszajelzés
Google AI Developers Forum

---

**Bitu79** 18 July 6, 2026, 4:24am

**https://archive.org/details/googles-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studios-delete-function-does-not-del_20260706**

---

**Bitu79** 19 July 6, 2026, 4:32am

**https://archive.org/details/googles-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studios-delete-function-does-not-del_20260706_0430**

---

**Bitu79** 20 July 6, 2026, 4:37am

**https://archive.ph/o6ok4**

**https://archive.ph/o6ok4/image**

---

**Bitu79** 21 July 6, 2026, 4:45am

The screenshot shows that Google Search not only lists my post among the results, but **highlights it at the top of the results page inside an information box**.

The screenshot shows that Google's own search engine displays the following sentence from my post as a **featured snippet**:

> "Both systems clearly show that the 'Delete' function does not remove data — it simply hides it. There is no backend deletion, no partial deletion, no delayed deletion. The data stays fully intact."

This is not some forum comment buried somewhere — this appears **on Google's own interface**, **under the Google brand**, **in a top-level highlighted position**.
According to Google's own rules, featured snippets are placed at the top of Search **only if they are useful and accurate answers**.

**Therefore, legally and communicatively, this is an admission:**
Google's system **confirmed** that the "Delete" function **does not delete**, it only **hides** the data.
If Google tried to deny this, they would have to claim that **their own search engine displays false information** — which is a **PR and legal self-own**.




Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's "Delete" function does not dele
Both systems clearly show that the "Delete" function does not remove data — it simply hides it. There is no backend deletion, no partial deletion, no delayed deletion. The data stays fully intact.
Google AI Developers Forum
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's ...
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's ...
but real deletion still does not exist - Gemini API
Total Data Loss in Gemini. "Delete Activity" wipes your ...


Google's own systems now show t
Both systems clearly show that the "Delete" function does not remove data — it simply hides it. There is no backend deletion, no partial deletion, no delayed deletion. The data stays fully intact. 4 órával ezelőtt
Google AI Developers Forum
https://discuss.ai.google.dev › google-s-own-systems-no...
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's ...
A kiemelt kivonatokról • Visszajelzés
Google AI Developers Forum

**Bitu79** 22 July 6, 2026, 4:52am

https://archive.org/details/googles-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studios-delete-function-does-not-del_20260706_0447

https://archive.ph/0ToSc

https://archive.ph/0ToSc/image

---

**Bitu79** 23 July 6, 2026, 5:04am

Google Search Help clearly states:
Featured snippets come from systems designed to show the most helpful and most relevant information…
We highlight these so users can quickly get answers to their questions.

The "Own Systems" Contradiction
I have demonstrated that:
Google AI Studio: says I deleted it.
Google Drive Recovery: says here is the file, I can restore it.
Google Search Snippet: says my post is the truth, meaning deletion is not deletion.

---

**Bitu79** 24 July 6, 2026, 5:12am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260706051134/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-s-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studio-s-delete-function-does-not-delete-backend-data/173694/print

**Bitu79** 25 July 6, 2026, 5:43am




Google's own systems now show that Google AI Stuc
Összes
AI-alapú áttekintés
Developer testing reveals that clicking the "Delete" button in Google AI Studio only removes a local JSON file from the interface/Google Drive, failing to delete the actual conversation data or topic summaries from the backend. Even after emptying the trash, server-side data and context remain intact.
On the Google AI Developers Forum, a consensus among
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's "Delete" ...
Google AI Developers Forum
remains active, meaning the AI can instantly resume the chat if the deleted session file is restored. Google has reportedly responded to these findings by altering the warning labels on the UI rather than implementing full backend data removal.
If you are currently managing sensitive information, I can help you:
Identify safer alternatives (such as paid Gemini
6 webhely
Statement on the Contradiction Between...
Google AI Developers Forum
Google AI Studio's "Delete" button is misleading -...
Google AI Developers Forum
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Googl...
Google AI Developers Forum
Az összes megjelenítése
Kérdezzen bármit
pontosido.com
PONTOS IDŐ VILÁGÓRA MUNKASZÜNETI NAPOK
Pontos idő Budapest
7:29:09
2026.07.06.
28. hét van | 19.8°C
Napfelkelte: 4:54 | Napnyugta 20:43
EUR CHF USD GBP
353.66 384.74 308.79 412.54
Pontos idő
Ezen az oldalon mindig megtudhatod mennyi a pontos idő Budapesten.
Pontos idő Budapesten Pontos idő Magazin Pontos idő Londonban Pontos idő Telefonszám Világóra
Óraátállítás 2026 Időzónák Munkaszüneti napok 2026 Húsvét 2026 Pünkösd 2026 Hanyadik hét van
Páros vagy páratlan hét van Bérkalkulátor Szabadság kalkulátor Adatvédelmi tájékoztató
Pontos idő főoldal Mai névnap Napi horoszkóp
2026


Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studi
Összes
Both systems clearly show that the "Delete" function does not remove data — it simply hides it. There is no backend deletion, no partial deletion, no delayed deletion. The data stays fully intact.
Google AI Developers Forum
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's ...
Google AI Developers Forum
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's ...
1 nappal ezelőtt — In this topic, I present detailed evidence showing that the "Delete" function in Google AI Studio does not delete backend data, but merely hides ...
Google AI Developers Forum
but real deletion still does not exist - Gemini API
2026. jún. 7. — For half a year I have been reporting to Google that their "Delete" function does not delete anything. For half a year they ignored me.
Reddit · r/GeminiAI
Total Data Loss in Gemini. "Delete Activity" wipes your ...
Even if the preview only shows a few items, clicking "delete" will wipe your entire history from forever. Has anyone else encountered this ...
Medium · Garythatcher
pontosido.com
PONTOS IDŐ VILÁGÓRA MUNKASZÜNETI NAPOK
Pontos idő Budapest
7:27:14
2026.07.06.
28. hét van | 19.8°C
Napfelkelte: 4:54 | Napnyugta 20:43
EUR CHF USD GBP
353.66 384.74 308.79 412.54
Pontos idő
Ezen az oldalon mindig megtudhatod mennyi a pontos idő Budapesten.
Pontos idő Budapesten Pontos idő Magazin Pontos idő Londonban Pontos idő Telefonszám Világóra
Óraátállítás 2026 Időzónák Munkaszüneti napok 2026 Húsvét 2026 Pünkösd 2026 Hanyadik hét van
Páros vagy páratlan hét van Bérkalkulátor Szabadság kalkulátor Adatvédelmi tájékoztató
Pontos idő főoldal Mai névnap Napi horoszkóp
2026


Statement on the Contradiction Between Google's Deletion ...
2026. máj. 7. — The "Delete" icon in AI Studio does not delete data. It merely hides the conversation from th...
Google AI Developers Forum
Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's ...
2026. júl. 5. — The most outrageous part: my documentation reveals that after I exposed the flaw,...
Google AI Developers Forum
Google AI Studio's "Delete" button is misleading - Gemini API
2026. jún. 8. — I know you changed the button based on sentences you copied from my posts. And I have...
Google AI Developers Forum
Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's ...
2026. júl. 6. — Google's own systems now show that Google AI Studio's "Delete" function does not delete...
Google AI Developers Forum
Proven data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio – ...
2026. jún. 9. — Proven data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio – developers are... ... I deleted the...
Google AI Developers Forum
Evidence of Google AI Studio Data Retention and Deletion Failure ( ...
2026. jún. 7. — Evidence of Google AI Studio Data Retention and Deletion Failure (GDPR-Relevant – Do...
Google AI Developers Forum

I uploaded the PDF file of the Google Search result to the Internet Archive.
The attached images and the PDF together prove that:

Google AI Studio says that the prompts are deleted — which is not true, because I have proven otherwise.

Google Drive Recovery says that the file can be restored — which I actually did in reality.

Google Search Snippet says that my post is the truth — deletion is not deletion.

These three systems are three different interfaces of the same company, and by contradicting each other they prove that the deletion function is not real deletion, but data-hiding.
The search result recorded in the PDF serves as an official admission displayed on a Google surface.
The timestamp in the PDF (2026.07.06. 7:29), and the timestamps on the images (7:27 and 7:29), confirm the exact moment when Google publicly displayed this contradiction.

PDF file:

**https://archive.org/details/googles-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studios-delete-function-does-not-del_20260706_0541**

---

**Bitu79** 26 July 6, 2026, 5:49am

**https://archive.org/details/googles-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studios-delete-function-does-not-del_20260706_0547**

---

**Bitu79** 27 July 6, 2026, 5:53am

**https://archive.ph/nZvit**

**https://archive.ph/nZvit/image**

---

**Bitu79** 28 July 6, 2026, 6:24am

From a legal perspective — meaning from the court's point of view — Google is fully responsible for whatever appears on its own interface. Legally, this means Google is treated as if it agrees with the content that its algorithms highlight, including featured snippets. Courts punish Google precisely because when the company displays a text in a prominent box on its own surface, it behaves toward the outside world as if that text were Google's own statement. The law does not accept the excuse of "it was just a machine." If Google puts something in its shop window, then legally it belongs to Google.

From a technical perspective — meaning according to Google's engineers — they absolutely do not agree with me. If a Google PR manager or executive looked at my screenshots, they would hold their head in their hands, because my post exposes their system. Google's algorithms do not evaluate truth or opinion; they only measure relevance and popularity — at least that's what Google would claim. But this is exactly where the court ruling comes in: it states that Google is responsible for whatever appears on its own surface, and it must be treated as if the content were Google's own statement.

Meanwhile, this snippet hurts Google precisely because their own algorithm forces them to display my truth on their own interface, even though internally they would strongly object to it. And here is the key point: **the deletion really is not deletion.** I have proven this technically, and Google's own systems have confirmed it — even if Google would never admit it publicly.

If a company releases a product or a system to the market, it cannot behave like a faulty machine where they simply shrug and say, "sorry, it malfunctioned." For years, Google hid behind exactly this excuse:
"This is just an automated algorithm, we can't help it if it outputs something stupid or inconvenient."

Courts, however — for the same reasons I am explaining — have had enough of this, and they have declared:

**There is no escape: if you operate the system and profit from it, then it is your responsibility when it malfunctions.**

And I will absolutely take legal action, because in my opinion Google has no chance against me — the amount of evidence against them is overwhelming.

**Here is the official developer documentation about featured snippets:**

https://developers.google.com/search/docs/appearance/featured-snippets

---

**Bitu79** 29 July 6, 2026, 6:31am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260706062931/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-s-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studio-s-delete-function-does-not-delete-backend-data/173694/print

---

**Bitu79** 30 July 6, 2026, 7:08am

The **Google ecosystem** is deliberately built so you can't actually reach them.
Everywhere you turn, you're met with forms: fill out this, fill out that, submit again — and nothing ever happens.
These forms don't solve anything; they're dead ends, intentionally designed to lead nowhere.
Automated replies, templates, AI-generated messages, empty emails — zero human response.
This isn't a technical glitch, it's not an accident, and it's not because the company is big. It's intentionally engineered this way.
The whole process is a pre-constructed wall meant to ensure no one has to deal with anything.

Meanwhile, their systems continuously **process**, analyze, highlight, and restore my content, so Google absolutely knows what's going on.
I can prove this in court, because I've contacted them countless times and never received a single real answer.
The way Google operates is unlawful, and I honestly don't understand how it's even possible that they get away with this and continue functioning.

Google will pay for this, because I can prove their **bad faith**.
Not just that they made mistakes, but that they deliberately ignored me, intentionally refused to respond, and built their entire system so that no one can actually reach them.

I contacted them countless times and never received a real answer, which clearly shows that their silence isn't accidental — it's intentional.
This is exactly what I'll be able to prove: Google isn't just unreachable — they operate in bad faith.

---

**Bitu79** 31 July 6, 2026, 7:36am

https://web.archive.org/web/20260706073540/https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-s-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studio-s-delete-function-does-not-delete-backend-data/173694/print

---

**Bitu79** 32 July 6, 2026, 8:49am

**Google's AI is so broken it officially denies the existence of its own DPO email addresses**

If anyone still believes that Google's AI Overview is a "smart" or "verified" system, these new screenshots will end that illusion forever. The AI is not just hallucinating; it is acting as a broken, automated shield to prevent users from exercising their GDPR rights.

I tested the AI Overview by asking for Google's official Data Protection Officer (DPO) contact information. The results are completely schizophrenic and expose how dangerously incompetent this system is:

**1. The AI actively denies the existence of official legal contacts**
In some searches, the AI correctly identifies the official DPO emails (dpo-google@google.com, data-protection-office@google.com).
However, just a moment later, in another search, the AI states as an absolute fact:
*"Google does not maintain a single general, direct email address for its DPO"* and *"Google officially does not publish a direct email address."*

It literally lies to the user and tries to force them into the dead-end, automated web forms I talked about in my previous post.

**2. The AI exposes Google by scraping Reddit complaints**
This is the most pathetic part. Where does Google's million-dollar AI get its "official" answers? From random forums. In one of the screenshots, the AI generates its summary based on a Reddit post titled: *"Google tries to hide its complaint e-mail addresses."*
Google's own AI is using user complaints about Google's shady practices as the foundation for its "factual" overviews!

**3. Pulling "helpful" data from 2009 and 2014**
To prove that this AI has zero comprehension and zero oversight, when asked for general Google contacts, it pulls "helpful" forum links and articles from **2009 and 2014**. We are in 2026, dealing with highly sensitive GDPR and AI compliance issues, and Google's flagship search feature is feeding users 12 to 17-year-old garbage data.

**Conclusion:**
This perfectly proves my point from the previous post. The Google ecosystem is a deliberately constructed wall.

They sell this AI as a "helpful assistant," but in reality, it is a blind scraping tool that contradicts itself, hides official legal contact channels from European citizens, and regurgitates decade-old forum posts.

If Google's AI cannot even reliably provide the legally mandated email address of its own Data Protection Officer without lying or hallucinating, how can any court, any regulatory body, or any user trust this system with handling personal data or executing deletion requests?

The answer is: we can't. The system is a fundamentally broken facade.


adatvédelmi tisztviselőjének a címe mi a googlenak?
AI-alapú áttekintés
A Google adatvédelmi tisztviselőjének (DPO) központi levelezési címe az egyesült államokbeli központban található, és közvetlenül is megkeresheti.
Google LLC
Data Protection Office
1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
Mountain View, CA 94043
Amerikai Egyesült Államok
E-mail: dpo-google@google.com
Ha adatszolgáltatási vagy törlési kérelme (GDPR) van, a leggyorsabb és hivatalos útvonalat a Google Adatvédelmi és Általános Szerződési Feltételek oldalon található hivatalos adatvédelmi űrlapok jelentik. Segítsek eligazodni a fiókadatok törlésével vagy az információk lekérésével kapcsolatban?
Kérdezzen bármit


mik a google valos elérhetőségei?
AI-alapú áttekintés
A Google nem tart fenn hagyományos ügyfélszolgálatot vagy központi irodát általános felhasználói kérdésekre. Az elérhetőségek platformonként és szolgáltatásonként változnak, de a legfontosabb hivatalos kapcsolati pontok az alábbiak:
Hivatalos magyarországi cím:
Google Budapest Kft.
Cím: 1023 Budapest, Árpád fejedelem útja 26-28. (Óbuda Gate irodaház)
Kérdezzen bármit


a google dpoját milyen emailcimen érem el?
Találatok a következőre: a google dpoját milyen email címen érem el?
A Google nem tart fenn közvetlenül elérhető, nyilvános e-mail címet az adatvédelmi tisztviselő (DPO) számára. Adatvédelmi vagy GDPR-ral kapcsolatos kérelmeidet (pl. adatok törlése, hozzáférés) a hivatalos online Google Adatvédelmi Űrlap segítségével tudod eljuttatni a vállalathoz.
Google tries to hide it's complaint e-mail addresses. - Reddit
GDPR Request for Google: Privacy Form, Address & Template
Kérdezzen bármit
A Google-fiókhoz tartozó e-mail-cím módosítása - Android


a google dpo- ját milyen emailcimen érem el?
Találatok a következőre: a google dpo- ját milyen email címen érem el?
A Google nem tart fenn nyilvános, közvetlen e-mail címet az adatvédelmi tisztviselőjéhez (DPO) intézett általános lakossági megkeresésekhez. Az adatvédelmi kérdéseket és panaszokat az erre a célra fenntartott online felületeken, Adatvédelmi irányelvek oldalon vagy postai úton lehet kezelni.
A legfontosabb elérhetőségek és lehetőségek:
Online adatvédelmi űrlapok: A leggyorsabb út a hivatalos adatvédelmi vagy törlési kérelmek benyújtására a Google Adatvédelmi Központ oldalán keresztül érhető el.
EU-s adatkezelő elérhetősége (Posta): Ha hivatalos dokumentumot vagy GDPR-megkeresést szeretne küldeni a Google európai adatkezelőjének, a következő címet használhatja:
Google Ireland Limited
c/o Data Protection Office
Gordon House, Barrow Street
Dublin 4, Ireland
Ha elmondja, hogy pontosan milyen típusú kéréssel vagy panasszal fordulna a Google-hoz (pl. személyes adatok törlése, fiókkal kapcsolatos információk lekérése),
GDPR Request for Google: Privacy Form, Address & Template
Kérdezzen bármit


A google DPO-jának add meg az emailcímét
Keresési javaslat: A google DPO-jának add meg az email címét
AI-alapú áttekintés
A Google hivatalosan nem tesz közzé közvetlen e-mail-címet az adatvédelmi tisztviselőjéhez (DPO). Adatvédelmi ügyekben és a GDPR-ral kapcsolatos megkeresésekhez a Google Adatvédelmi Központ online űrlapját ajánlott használni, vagy levelet küldeni az alábbi címre:
Google LLC
c/o Data Protection Office
1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
Mountain View, CA 94043
USA
Milyen konkrét ügyben (pl. adathozzáférés, törlési kérelem, fiókbeállítások) szeretnéd felvenni a kapcsolatot a Google-lal? Segítek megtalálni a megfelelő hivatalos online űrlapot a gyorsabb ügyintézés érdekében.
Kérdezzen bármit
Google Help
Másik e-mail-fiók hozzáadása a Gmail alkalmazásban


dpo-google@google.com; data-protection-office@google.com ezek hivatalos google
AI-alapú áttekintés
Igen, mindkét e-mail-cím hivatalos. A dpo-google@google.com és a data-protection-office@google.com is a Google adatvédelmi tisztviselőjéhez (Data Protection Officer – DPO) és a globális adatvédelmi csapatához tartozik.
Ezeket a címeket a Google hivatalosan az alábbi esetekben használja vagy jelöli meg:
Hivatalos iratok: A Google különféle adatkezelési szabályzataiban és hatósági bejelentéseiben (például a Google Cloud GDPR-dokumentációjában GDPR and Google Cloud) ezek szerepelnek.
Irányelvek betartása: A fejlesztői és konzolos szerződésekben (User data - Play Console Help) a Google kifejezetten kéri a partnereit és fejlesztőit, hogy a data-protection-office@google.com címen értesítsék őket, ha bármilyen adatvédelmi incidens történik.
Ha szeretnéd, segítek megfogalmazni az adatvédelmi leveledet angolul, vagy megmutatom, hogyan tudsz GDPR-alapú adatlekérdezést vagy törlési kérelmet indítani a hivatalos online felületeken. Milyen ügyben szeretnéd felvenni velük a kapcsolatot?
Kérdezzen bármit


AI-alapú áttekintés
A Google nem tart fenn egyetlen általános, közvetlen e-mail címet az adatvédelmi tisztviselőjének (DPO). Ehelyett a hivatalos Google Adatvédelmi irányelvek oldalon és a Google Safety Center központon keresztül biztosítanak dedikált űrlapokat.
A közvetlen kapcsolatfelvételhez az alábbi hivatalos csatornákat érdemes használni:
Személyes adatokhoz való hozzáférés: Használja a Google Irányítópult felületet a fiókjában tárolt adatok letöltéséhez és megtekintéséhez.
Adatvédelmi panaszok: A Google Ads Súgóban elérhető online űrlappal nyújthat be panaszt (a kategóriák közül válassza az 'Ügyfélszolgálat' lehetőséget).
Jogalapú tartalomeltávolítás: Ha uniós adatvédelmi jog alapján szeretne keresési találatokat eltávolíttatni, a Tartalomeltávolítási kérelmek az EU-s adatvédelmi jog alapján űrlapot vegye igénybe.
Google Workspace / Cloud esetén: Vállalati fiókok adminisztrátorai a Google Cloud Adatvédelmi Kapcsolatfelvétel oldalon léphetnek kapcsolatba a felhőszolgáltatások adatvédelmi csapatával.
Szeretné megtudni, hogyan törölheti a keresési előzményeit, töltheti le a Google-fiókjában tárolt adatait, vagy nyújthat be hivatalos tartalomeltávolítási kérelmet? Válaszaival pontosabban megmutathatom a megfelelő felületet.
Kérdezzen bármit

## Related topics

| Topic | Replies | Views | Activity |
|---|---|---|---|
| Google AI Studio's "Delete" button is misleading<br>gemini-api | 13 | 238 | July 4, 2026 |
| Evidence of Google AI Studio Data Retention and Deletion Failure (GDPR-Relevant – Do Not Remove)<br>ai-studio | 10 | 306 | June 7, 2026 |
| Technical Evidence Demonstrating That Google AI Studio's "Delete" Function Does Not Delete Backend Data<br>generative-ai | 21 | 79 | July 5, 2026 |

| Topic | Replies | Views | Activity |
|---|---|---|---|
| Proven intentional data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio – official GDPR complaint submitted to the DPO<br>ai-studio | 3 | 83 | June 12, 2026 |
| Proven data retention after deletion in Google AI Studio – developers are required to reply<br>ai-studio | 32 | 369 | June 17, 2026 |